BRUCE LAING BILL REAMS LOIS NORTH RON SIMS

July 27, 1987 2470B/CF:ple

Introduced by:

Gary Grant

Proposed No.:

87-565

MOTION NO. 6967

A MOTION requesting environmental impact information related to proposed energy/resource recovery facilities.

WHEREAS, the executive has submitted to the council a proposed management plan for implementing an energy/resource recovery program in King County, in compliance with Ordinance 7764 and provisos in the 1987 county budget, and

WHEREAS, the solid waste division, lead agency for the county's energy/resource recovery project, has issued a determination of significance and has determined that the energy/resource recovery project is likely to have a significant impact on the environment and the solid waste division has determined that meaningful environmental analysis of the energy/resource recovery proposal can best be performed when alternative sites have been identified and that scoping should be, and

WHEREAS, waste reduction and recycling are higher priorities for disposal of solid waste than energy/resource recovery, and WHEREAS, a draft plan for implementing waste reduction and recycling strategies in King County will be submitted to the council in September, 1987, and

WHEREAS, the waste reduction and recycling strategies implemented in King County will affect the waste stream projections used in the county's energy/resource recovery plan, and

6 . 7 . 8 . 9

 WHEREAS, the scope of the proposed environmental impact statement will include evaluation of the range of waste disposal alternatives to landfilling, including waste reduction, recycling and energy/resource recovery the scope will be further refined using an expanded scoping process which shall include public participation and involvement of interested jurisdictions, and

WHEREAS, information about the environmental impacts of energy/resource recovery could affect the nature of the county's energy/resource recovery program and distribution of proposed energy/resource recovery facilities, and

WHEREAS, the county wishes to have the fullest range of information on the options and alternatives prior to adopting the energy/resource recovery plan, and

WHEREAS, King County wishes to establish a process beyond its obligations under the State Environmental Policy Act which will best provide timely input at all important stages from concerned jurisdictions and citizens to ensure a fully informed decision, and King County has revised its proposed process after discussions with the suburban cities to better address those objectives;

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT MOVED by the Council of King County:

The King County council shall not consider for adoption the proposed energy/resource recovery plan until the county's draft waste reduction and recycling plan has been reviewed and a final environmental impact statement on the range of waste disposal alternatives to landfilling, including waste reduction and recycling, as well as the energy/resource recovery proposal has been issued and reviewed by the council.

The executive is authorized to prepare a contract and budget for consultant services to perform the proposed environmental impact statement for the landfilling alternatives and energy/resource recovery project, provided that the council shall review and comment on the proposed contract before it is finalized and on proposed facility siting criteria, proposed scope of the environmental impact statement, and any proposed vendor request for qualifications and/or request for proposal; and further provided that no final energy/resource recovery site shall be selected and no final vendor shall be selected until the energy/resource recovery plan has been adopted by the King County council.

PASSED this 28th day of September, 1987.

KING COUNTY COUNCIL KING COUNTY, WASHINGTON

Chairman

ATTEST:

Barathy Mr. Olu